

**COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE**  
**RCIT UPS Upgrade Project**  
**RFB# ITARC-603**



**ADDENDUM NO. 4**  
**March 5, 2026**

**CERTIFICATION OF RECEIPT OF ADDENDUM**

I certify that I have received this addendum on behalf of the company listed below.

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_ Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

Name and title: \_\_\_\_\_

Company: \_\_\_\_\_

**ADDENDUM NO. 4**  
**TO RFB #ITARC-603**

**1.0** This addendum is considered to be part of the RFB. All other terms of the RFB remain unchanged and in effect. This addendum is intended to provide additional information and/or to change requirements in the above-mentioned RFB. Any information contained herein will be considered part of the RFB and as such will be used in the evaluation of the bid responses. Attention all potential bidders, if you have already submitted your quote prior to the bid closing date, please review this addendum and re-submit your bid response, should this addendum modify your initial bid response.

**2.0 Clarifications from the County**

**2.1** This is a pre-install project and there will be no after-hours or weekend work. Only daywork, from 7:30 a.m. to 3:30 p.m.

**2.2** Some sites have existing UPS's and only need the NMC (Network Management Card) to be installed at sites with NEW UPS's. As called out in SOW R2.

**2.3** Time for completion of project, reference in the RFB, on page 6 of 19, section Bid Form, it states 15 days and the County is revising the project completion time to sixty (60) County business days.

**2.4** The County has revised the following pdf documents which are labeled as Document A-Detailed Scope of Work R2, Document E-Site List R2 and Document F- Materials List R2. These documents will be uploaded to the Purchasing public facing site and e-mailed to bidders.

**2.5** The County would like to clarify when bidders are submitting their bid response at time of bid close date, which is March 12, 2026, on or before 1:30 p.m. PT, please include both Addendum #1, dated February 18, 2026 and Addendum #4, dated March 5, 2026, with signature on both Addendums certifying your in receipt of the Addendum.

**3.0 Questions from vendors:** Requesting clarification on SOW. Not all locations have SOW.

**County Response:** Corrections have been adjusted. Please refer to the sites listed on Revision 2\_Document A Detailed Scope of Work (SOW R2).

**3.1 Questions from vendors:** The "Detailed Scope of Work" section calls out each site by city. However, I am seeing a site in Desert Hot Springs here that does not appear anywhere else in the RFP such as the "Site List Revised" document. May we clarify if the Desert Hot Springs location was added or removed from the project?

**County Response:** Corrections have been adjusted. Please refer to the sites listed on Revision 2\_Document A Detailed Scope of Work (SOW R2).

**3.2 Questions from vendors:** In Document A under "Detailed Scope of Work", Hemet and Temecula are listed,

however in Document E Hemet shows two sites instead of one and Temecula shows one site. Can we please receive clarification if these sites were removed or missed on the SOW R2?

**County Response:** Please defer to the sites listed on Revision 2\_Document A Detailed Scope of Work (SOW R2).650 N State Street Hemet, and 40925 County Center Dr, Temecula were removed.

**3.3 Questions from vendors:** Would you please elaborate on the “grounding and bonding” section? The SOW R2 does not state anything regarding this as we don’t see what exactly needs to be grounded and bonded, but it is listed under the “Contractor Supplied Materials”.

**County Response:** Grounding and bonding of equipment was deemed not needed and omitted from the project. Please defer to the sites listed on Revision 2\_Document A Detailed Scope of Work (SOW R2) and corrected Revision 2\_Document F- Material List (R2).

**3.4 Questions from vendors:** Our team has gone through the SOW and compared the listed material quantities and found multiple inconsistencies. Please see the attached excel file showing the material quantities per site. The discrepancies are highlighted in red. May we please confirm the counts between the SOW R2 and Documents A and E?

**County Response:** Corrections have been adjusted. Please refer to the sites listed on Revision 2\_Document A Detailed Scope of Work (SOW R2).

**3.5 Questions from vendors:**

Document E Site List shows a total of 35 sites to complete, but does not list Desert Hot Springs, however Document A (Detailed SOW R2) does show Desert Hot Springs Locations Multi Dept. Site 14320 Palm Drive, Desert Hot Springs, CA 92240 (TR-1A), and only lists 31 SOW R2’s. Requesting clarification of total quantity of SOW R2s with Addresses.

**County Response:** Corrections have been adjusted. Please refer to the sites listed on Revision 2\_Document A Detailed Scope of Work (SOW R2) for locations included in the project. Please note: Some sites have been removed/added and may have been missed on the Document E Site list.